

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET 09/391,966 09/08/99 DITZIK R **EXAMINER** WM01/0214 RICHARD J DITZIK URBAN, E PAPER NUMBER 307 SURREY DRIVE **ART UNIT** BONITA CA 91902 2683

> **DATE MAILED:** 02/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/391,966 Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

2683

Ditzik



·	Edward F. Urban	2683	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire			
Disposition of Claim			
X Claim(s) <u>13-30</u>		is/are pend	ng in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)			
Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	objected to.
X Claims <u>13-30</u>	are subject to	o restriction or ele	ection requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). AllSome* for the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). AllSome* for the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) for the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape ☒ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Application/Control Number: 09/391,966

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

1. In a telephone interview with Mr. Richard Ditzik on 1/31/01, original claims 1-12 have been cancelled. The preliminary amendment received 9/8/99, presenting claims 1-6 have been renumbered as claims 13-18. Also, the amendment received 7/24/00 presenting new claims 7-18 have been renumbered as claims 19-30.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 13 and 19-22, drawn to an expandably hinged portable computer, classified in class 361, subclass 681.
 - Claims 14 and 23-25, drawn to a portable wireless communication device, classified in class 455, subclass 557.
 - III. Claims 15-18 and 26-30, drawn to a modular portable computer, classified in class 361, subclass 683.
- Inventions I & III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the expandable hinge of invention I and and the details of the modular unit of invention III are not required in the combination of invention II to the wireless

Application/Control Number: 09/391,966

Page 3

Art Unit: 2683

communication system. The subcombination has separate utility such as the expandable hinge of invention I and the modular assembly of invention III.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, both of the inventions have utility separate and distinct from each other. Neither of the subcombinations require the other subcombination be present in order to junction. For example, the modular system of invention III does not require the expandable hinge of invention I and the portable computer of invention I does not require the modular assembly of invention III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2683

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Urban whose telephone number is (703) 305-4385.

EFU

February 1, 2001

ELL ZON EDWARD F. URBAN PRIMARY EXAMINER